

ON SUBSPACES OF QUOTIENTS OF $(\sum G_n)_{l_p}$ AND $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$

BY

W. B. JOHNSON[†] AND M. ZIPPIN

ABSTRACT

Let $1 < p < \infty$ (resp. $p = \infty$). Then every \mathcal{L}_p -subspace of a quotient space of l_p (resp. c_0) is isomorphic to l_p (resp. c_0).

1. Introduction.

In this paper we show that if a subspace X of a quotient of a Banach space of the form $(\sum G_n)_{l_p}$ ($1 < p < \infty$) or $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$ with $\dim G_n < \infty$ admits a nice enough structure, then X is itself isomorphic to a space of the form $(\sum E_n)_{l_p}$ or $(\sum E_n)_{c_0}$ with $\dim E_n < \infty$. The most significant application of this result is that for $1 < p \leq \infty$, every \mathcal{L}_p subspace of a quotient of l_p (or c_0 if $p = \infty$) is isomorphic to l_p (or c_0). This answers a question of Lindenstrauss and Pelczynski [7] and improves the result of the second-named author [9] that if X is a $\mathcal{L}_{\infty, \lambda}$ subspace of c_0 with $\lambda < 7/6$, then X is isomorphic to c_0 .

Before giving a precise statement of our main result, we mention the kinds of structures that concern us here. A sequence (E_n) of finite dimensional subspaces of the Banach space X is called a finite dimensional decomposition for X (f.d.d., in short) provided that, for each x in X , there is a unique sequence $P_n x \in E_n$ with $x = \sum P_n x$. If $(P_n^* X^*)$ forms an f.d.d. for X^* , the decomposition is called shrinking. A f.d.d. (E_n) is an l_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) (respectively, c_0) decomposition provided that, given $e_n \in E_n$, $\sum e_n$ converges if and only if $\sum \|e_n\|^p < \infty$ (respectively, $\|e_n\| \rightarrow 0$).

Our main result is:

THEOREM 1. *Let (G_n) be a sequence of finite dimensional spaces. Suppose (E_n) is a shrinking f.d.d. for a subspace X of a quotient of $(\sum G_n)_{l_p}$ ($1 < p < \infty$)*

[†] Supported by NSF GP-33578

{respectively, $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$ }. Then there exist integers $1 = k_1 < k_2 < k_3 \cdots$ so that $([E_i]_{i=k_n}^{k_{n+1}-1})_{n=1}^\infty$ is an l_p (respectively, c_0) decomposition for X .

Enflo's remarkable counterexample to the approximation problem [2] points out the futility of attempting to classify even all subspaces of c_0 . However, the elementary techniques used here might be refined to classify the isomorphic types of all subspaces of quotients of $(\sum G_n)_{l_p}$ ($1 < p < \infty$) or $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$ ($\dim G_n < \infty$) which admit a reasonable structure (perhaps only the approximation property) as being of the form $(\sum E_n)_{l_p}$ or $(\sum E_n)_{c_0}$ with $\dim E_n < \infty$. Corollary 2 points in this direction: If X is a subspace of a quotient of $(\sum G_n)_{l_p}$ ($1 < p < \infty$) or $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$ ($\dim G_n < \infty$) and X^* has the approximation property, then X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of a space of the form $(\sum E_n)_{l_p}$ or $(\sum E_n)_{c_0}$ (with $\dim E_n < \infty$).

Our notation follows that of [5] except that $[A]$ is used instead of $\text{span } A$ to denote the closed linear subspace generated by A . Terms used but not defined here are as in [5].

2. The main result

We begin with a basis-theoretic preliminary lemma. Note that $B(X)$ is the closed unit ball of the Banach space X .

LEMMA 1. *Suppose $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is a quotient map, (G_n) is an f.d.d. for X , and (E_n) is a shrinking f.d.d. for a subspace of Y . Let a positive integer n and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a positive integer m so that for any y in $[E_i]_{i=m}^\infty$, $\|y\| = 1$, the distance $d(y, T3B[G_i]_{i=n}^\infty)$ from y to $T3B[G_i]_{i=n}^\infty$ does not exceed ε .*

PROOF. Suppose the conclusion is false. Then we may pick $y_m \in [E_i]_{i=m}^\infty$ ($m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), $\|y_m\| = 1$, with $d(y_m, T3B[G_i]_{i=n}^\infty) > \varepsilon$. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem, there exist $f_m \in Y^*$, $\|f_m\| = 1 = f_m(y_m)$, with $|f_m(Tx)| < 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $x \in 3B[G_i]_{i=n}^\infty$. Since (E_i) is shrinking, $y_m \xrightarrow{w} 0$; hence there is a subsequence (z_m) of (y_m) and a corresponding subsequence (g_m) of (f_m) satisfying $|g_m(z_j)| < 1/j$ for $j > m$; $g_m(z_m) = 1$.

Write $z_m = Tw_m$ with $w_m \in X$, $\|w_m\| \leq 1 + 1/m$. Let $P_i: X \rightarrow G_i$ be the obvious coordinate projections. By passing to a subsequence of (w_m) , we may assume that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_i w_m$ exists for each fixed i . Hence by the f.d.d. variant of a well-known result of Bessaga and Pelczynski [1], some subsequence of $(w_{2m} - w_{2m+1})$ is arbitrarily close to a block basic sequence of (G_i) . (To apply

the result of [1], note that $g_{2m}T(w_{2m} - w_{2m+1}) = g_{2m}(z_{2m}) - g_{2m}(z_{2m+1}) > 1 - \frac{1}{2m+1}$, from which $\|w_{2m} - w_{2m+1}\|$ is bounded away from 0.) Applying this result, we have that there are increasing sequences (p_i) and (k_i) of positive integers and vectors

$$b_m \in [G_i]_{i=k_m}^{k_{m+1}-1}, \|b_m\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2p_m} + \frac{1}{2p_m+1}, \text{ with } \|b_m - (w_{2p_m} - w_{2p_m+1})\| < \frac{1}{m}.$$

$$\text{We have } |g_{2p_m}T(w_{2p_m} - w_{2p_m+1})| > 1 - \frac{1}{2p_m} - \frac{1}{2p_m+1},$$

$$\text{and hence } g_{2p_m}(Tb_m) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p_m} - \frac{1}{2p_m+1}\right) - \frac{1}{m}.$$

But when $k_m \geq n$, $b_m \in 3B[G_i]_{i=n}^\infty$, so that $|g_{2p_m}(Tb_m)| < 1 - \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction for sufficiently large values of m . Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 1. Let (G_n) be a sequence of finite dimensional spaces. Suppose (E_n) is a shrinking f.d.d. for a subspace, X , of a quotient, Y , of $(\sum G_n)_{l_p}$ ($1 < p < \infty$) or $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$. Then there is a sequence $1 = p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \dots$ of integers such that the f.d.d. (X_n) of X defined by $X_n = [E_i]_{i=p_n}^{p_{n+1}-1}$ has the following property: Given any sequence $1 = q(1) < q(2) < q(3) < \dots$ of integers and $x_m \in [X_i]_{i=q(m)}^{q(m+1)-1}$,

$$\left\| \sum x_m \right\| \leq \begin{cases} 7(\sum \|x_m\|^p)^{1/p}, & 1 < p < \infty \\ 7(\sup \|x_m\|), & c_0 \text{ case.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. We treat the case of $(\sum G_n)_{l_p}$; the case of $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$ requires only notational changes.

Let $T: (\sum G_n)_{l_p} \rightarrow Y$ be the quotient map. We select sequences $1 = n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ and $1 = p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \dots$ of integers to satisfy for all $1 \leq i < k$,

(*) for each $x \in [E_j]_{j=p_i}^{p_{i+1}-1}$ with $\|x\| = 1$, $d(x, T3B[\sum_{j=n_i}^{n_{i+1}-1} G_j]) < 2^{-i}$;

(**) for each $x \in [E_j]_{j=p_i}^\infty$ with $\|x\| = 1$, $d(x, T3B[\sum_{j=n_i}^\infty G_j]) < 2^{-i}$.

Set $n_1 = p_1 = 1$, let $n_2 > 1$, and, using Lemma 1, choose $p_2 > p_1$ so that (**) holds for $i = 2$. (**) obviously holds for $i = 1$, so choose $n_3 > n_2$ to make (*) valid for $1 \leq i < k \leq 2$. Now select $p_3 > p_2$ so that (**) holds for $i = 3$. Using (**) for $i = 1, 2$, choose $n_4 > n_3$ to make (*) true for $1 \leq i < k \leq 3$. Continue in this way to define (p_i) and (n_i) by induction.

Set $X_m = [E_i]_{i=p_m}^{p_{m+1}-1}$, let $1 \leq q(1) < q(2) < \dots$, and suppose $x_m \in [X_i]_{i=q(m)}^{q(m+1)-1}$.

By (*) there are $w_m \in \sum_{i=n_r(m)}^{n_r(m)+1-1} G_i$ with $\|w_m\| \leq 3\|x_m\|$ and $\|Tw_m - x_m\| \leq 2^{-p_r(m)}\|x_m\| \leq 2^{-m}\|x_m\|$.

But $\|\sum Tw_m\| \leq \|\sum w_m\| \leq \|\sum_{m \text{ odd}} w_m\| + \|\sum_{m \text{ even}} w_m\| =$
 $= (\sum_{m \text{ odd}} \|w_m\|^p)^{1/p} + (\sum_{m \text{ even}} \|w_m\|^p)^{1/p} \leq 2(\sum \|w_m\|^p)^{1/p} \leq 6(\sum \|x_m\|^p)^{1/p}$.
 Thus $\|\sum x_m\| \leq 6(\sum \|x_m\|^p)^{1/p} + \sum 2^{-m}\|x_m\| \leq 7(\sum \|x_m\|^p)^{1/p}$. Q.E.D.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $1 = p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ and (X_n) be as in the conclusion of Proposition 1. For the case of c_0 , simply note that, since (X_n) is an f.d.d., there is a constant c so that, if $x_n \in X_n$, $c \sup \|x_n\| \leq \|\sum x_n\|$. Thus (X_n) is already a c_0 decomposition.

For the case of $(\sum G_n)_{l_p}$ ($1 < p < \infty$) we need to dualize Proposition 1. Since a subspace of a quotient is also a quotient of a subspace, it follows that X^* is a subspace of a quotient of $(\sum G_n^*)_{l_p}$ ($1/p + 1/q = 1$). Also, if P_i is the coordinate projection of X onto X_i , then $(P_i^* X^*)$ is a (necessarily shrinking) f.d.d. for X^* . Thus from Proposition 1, we have that there is a sequence $1 = q(1) < q(2) < \dots$ of integers so that, if $x_m^* \in [P_i^* X^*]_{i=q(m)}^{q(m)+1-1}$, then $\|\sum x_m^*\| \leq 7(\sum \|x_m^*\|^q)^{1/q}$. From this it follows that there is a constant c , depending only on the f.d.d. (X_n) , so that, if $x_m \in [X_i]_{i=q(m)}^{q(m)+1-1}$, then $c(\sum \|x_m\|^p)^{1/p} \leq \|\sum x_m\|$. But also $\|\sum x_m\| \leq 7(\sum \|x_m\|^p)^{1/p}$ by Proposition 1, so $([X_i]_{i=q(m)}^{q(m)+1-1})_{m=1}^\infty$ is an l_p decomposition. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 1. Let (G_n) be a sequence of finite dimensional spaces, let $1 < p \leq \infty$, and suppose that X is an \mathcal{L}_p subspace of a quotient of $(\sum G_n)_{l_p}$ (if $1 < p < \infty$) or $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$ (if $p = \infty$). Then X is isomorphic to l_p (if $1 < p < \infty$) or c_0 (if $p = \infty$).

PROOF. It is known (cf. [5]) that X admits a shrinking f.d.d.; hence by Theorem 1, X is isomorphic to a space of the form $(\sum E_n)_{l_p}$ (if $1 < p < \infty$) or $(\sum E_n)_{c_0}$ (if $p = \infty$) with $\dim E_n < \infty$. Since X is a \mathcal{L}_p space, it follows easily that there is a constant λ , depending only on X and (E_n) , so that, for each n , there is an isomorphism $T_n: E_n \xrightarrow{\text{into}} l_p(c_0, \text{ if } p = \infty)$ with $\|T_n\| = 1$, $\|T_n^{-1}\| \leq \lambda$, and there is a projection P_n of l_p (or c_0 , if $p = \infty$) onto $T_n E_n$ with $\|P_n\| \leq \lambda$. From this it follows that X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $l_p(c_0, \text{ if } p = \infty)$ and hence by Pelczynski's result [8], X is isomorphic to $l_p(c_0, \text{ if } p = \infty)$. Q.E.D.

REMARK 1. Lindenstrauss [6] showed that l_1 contains a \mathcal{L}_1 subspace which

is not isomorphic to l_1 , so there is no analogue to Corollary 1 for $p = 1$ even for subspaces of l_1 .

REMARK 2. In [9] it was shown that, for $1 \leq \lambda < 7/6$, there is a number $f(\lambda)$ so that if X is a $\mathcal{L}_{\infty, \lambda}$ subspace of c_0 , then there is an isomorphism $T: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} c_0$ with $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \leq f(\lambda)$. Further, f depends continuously on λ in the sense that $f(\lambda) \rightarrow 1$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 1$. This last result does not follow from the techniques in this paper and the corresponding question for $\mathcal{L}_{p, \lambda}$ subspaces of l_p (for $1 < p < \infty$ and even for $p = 1$) remains open.

For subspaces of quotients of $(\sum G_n)_{l_\infty}$ and $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$ which admit a structure weaker than a shrinking f.d.d., we have a weaker version of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 2. Let (G_n) be a sequence of finite dimensional spaces and suppose that X is a subspace of a quotient of $(\sum G_n)_{l_\infty}$ ($1 < p < \infty$) or $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$. If X^* has the approximation property, then X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $(\sum E_n)_{l_\infty}$ ($1 < p < \infty$) or $(\sum E_n)_{c_0}$ for some sequence (E_n) of finite dimensional spaces.

PROOF. Since X^* is separable, it follows from Grothendieck's results [3] (cf. [5, Remark 4.11]) that X^* has the bounded approximation property. Let (F_n) be a sequence of finite dimensional spaces which is dense (in the Banach-Mazur sense) for the class of all finite dimensional spaces and set $C_p = (\sum F_n)_{l_\infty}$ ($1 < p < \infty$), $C_\infty = (\sum F_n)_{c_0}$. C_p is isomorphic to the space C_p discussed in [4]. Now certainly $(\sum G_n)_{l_p}$ ($1 < p < \infty$) (or $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$) is complemented in C_p (or C_∞); hence $X \oplus C_p$ is isomorphic to a subspace of a quotient of C_p . But since X^* has the bounded approximation property and is separable, $X \oplus C_p$ has by [4] a shrinking f.d.d. Hence the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 1.

Q.E.D.

REMARK. Continuing in the notation of the proof of Corollary 2, we have from Pelczynski's decomposition method [8] that $X \oplus C_p$ is isomorphic to C_p , since each space is complemented in the other and C_p is isomorphic to $(\sum C_p)_{l_\infty}$ $\{(\sum C_\infty)_{c_0}, \text{ if } p = \infty\}$. Thus C_p has the property that a Banach space, X , is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of C_p if and only if X is isomorphic to a subspace of a quotient of C_p and X^* has the approximation property.

REFERENCES

1. C. Bessaga and A. Pelczynski, *On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **17** (1958), 151–164.
2. P. Enflo, *A counterexample to the approximation problem*, (to appear).
3. A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1955).
4. W. B. Johnson, *Factoring compact operators*, Israel J. Math. **9** (1971), 337–345.
5. W. B. Johnson, H. P. Rosenthal, and M. Zippin, *On bases, finite dimensional decompositions and weaker structures in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **9** (1971), 488–506.
6. J. Lindenstrauss, *On a certain subspace of l^1* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. **12** (1964), 539–542.
7. J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pelczynski, *Absolutely summing operators in \mathcal{L}_p spaces and their applications*, Studia Math. **29** (1968), 275–326.
8. A. Pelczynski, *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **19** (1960), 209–228.
9. M. Zippin, *\mathcal{L}_∞ -subspaces of c_0* , (to appear).

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

AND

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM AND THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY